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ABSTRACT

Purpose: It is not necessary that massive investment in automobile industry is beneficial for all the nations. Some countries like Malaysia are facing different issues regarding Financial Performance (FP) due to the intensity of worldwide competition. To address this issue, this study is being conducted to investigate the role of lean manufacturing in the FP of Malaysian automobile industry.

Design/Methodology/Approach: This study followed quantitative research approach and survey was preferred to collect required data from managerial staff of Malaysian automobile company with the help of questionnaire. Total 500 questionnaires were used and distributed with the help of simple random sampling technique. Study utilized PLS-SEM to investigate the impact of lean manufacturing on FP of Malaysian automobile industry.

Findings: Results of the study revealed a strong connection between lean manufacturing and FP. Lean manufacturing has a positive impact on FP of Malaysian automobile industry. Moreover, quality management is important to sustain the link between lean manufacturing and FP.

Implications/Originality/Value: This study contributed to the body of knowledge by highlighting the lean manufacturing practices to promote FP. As this study highlighted various lean manufacturing practices to enhance FP, therefore, it is significant for practitioners while making the strategies related to the FP of automobile companies.

© 2020 The authors, under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0

1. INTRODUCTION

In the postmodern era of industrialization, the automobile industry is a fastest growing industry worldwide (Wang, 2019). It has a prominent contribution to the economy of various countries (Luthra, Garg, & Haleem, 2016). This industry is the key economic inductor for various nations (Filbeck et al., 2016). As it has an important association with foreign direct investment (FDI) (Nishitateno, 2013). Therefore, the automobile industry has a major role in the economic development of nations. Investment in the automobile industry is growing with the passage of time. Most of the countries such United States (US), United Kingdom (UK), China and Malaysian investing heavily in the automobile industry. Figure 1 shows the investment trend from 2006 to 2016. It is evident that investment is increasing with the increasing demand for vehicles (Rajesh & Dileep, 2013). However, massive investment is not much fruitful for all nations. Various countries such as Malaysia facing different issues regarding FP (FP) due to the intensity of worldwide competition.

Fig. 1. Combined Capital Spending and R & D of top 10 OEMs (Source: Capital IQ; Company reports)
Various issues in Malaysian automobile industry decreases the performance (Wad & Govindaraju, 2011). The automobile industry in Malaysian also facing issues related to the relationship between buyers and suppliers (Abdullah & Maharjan, 2003). Initially, this industry has grown rapidly and achieved the significant position worldwide (Rosli, 2006), however, in the current decade, the industry has suffered from critical issues such as just-in-time (JIT) as well as employee involvement. JIT and employee involvement are the most significant elements of the automobile industry (Nor Rifhan, 2017). These issues decrease the Financial Performance (FP) of Malaysian automobile industry. However, it is quite possible to increases FP with the help of JIT and employee involvement. Both these elements are the parts of lean manufacturing having a significant positive role in FP (Nor Rifhan, 2017). Additionally, JIT and employee involvement not sufficient to increases FP, companies also need to focus on quality management. JIT and employee involvement are not much influential if the company does not maintain the certain quality criteria. As it is revealed by Osman et al. (2009) that quality management is the most important elements in the Malaysian automobile industry. Therefore, to enhance FP, Malaysian automobile companies must insure lean manufacturing (JIT, employee involvement) and quality management. Prior studies on Malaysian automobile industry were carried out by various researchers (Kushwaha & Sharma, 2016; P. K. Ng et al., 2016; Ng, Abdul Hamid, & Mohd Yusof, 2016; Otsuka & Natsuda, 2016), however, it is very rare that study comprehensively addressed the integration of lean manufacturing and FP. Therefore, the current study is the effort to fill this literature gap. Hence, the prime objective of the study is to explore the role of lean manufacturing in the FP of Malaysian automobile industry. The integration of lean manufacturing and FP is shown in Figure 2. Moreover, sub-objectives are as follows:

- To investigate the role of JIT in FP.
- To investigate the role of employee involvement in FP.
- To investigate the mediating role of quality management in FP.

1.1 Lean Manufacturing

![Fig. 2. Theoritical framework of the study showing the intergration between lean manufactuting and fiancial performance among Malaysian automobile companies](image)

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

FP is the degree to which an organization accomplishes its financial objectives related to profit, for instance, return on investment and return on sales (Menor, Kristal, & Rosenzweig, 2007). In the automobile industry, FP is based on the sale of vehicles which generates a return on investment. FP in a more extensive sense refers to how many financial purposes being or has been attained which is an important aspect of financial risk. It is the procedure of calculating the results of a firm’s policies as well as operations in money-related terms. It is utilized to measure firms financial wellbeing at a specific timeframe and can also be utilized to think regarding parallel firms across the parallel industry or to analyses sectors or industries (Margolis & Walsh, 2001). Womack et al. (1991) explained that lean manufacturing idea was led by Japanese car manufacturing organization during 1950’s. This organization was Toyota which was well known as Toyota Production System (TPS) (Nordin, Deros, & Wahab, 2010). Decrease the cost and to enhance efficiency by wiping out waste or non-value-added practices which were the essential objective of TPS. Holweg (2007) states the origination of the assembly line and the accompanying advancement of the TPS productivity has been one of the focal targets of manufacturing.

The systematic removal of waste emphases on lean manufacturing that are organization’s operations with the help of synergistic work activities to deliver products as well as services by following demand (Shah & Ward, 2007).
Lean manufacturing also signifies numerous ideas that might be assembled together as an organizational activities (McLachlin, 1997). As Browning and Heath (2009) described that, lean manufacturing as a set of activities to decrease of wastes and other non-value-added activities from a company manufacturing. The key focus of lean manufacturing is to decrease the cost and to enhance efficiency by taking out waste or non-value-added activities. Todd (2000) explained lean manufacturing as activity, whose objective is to decrease the waste, time towards market, and manufacturing space to end up exceptionally reactive to client request while diminishing quality products in effective and efficient way. While Bhasin and Burcher (2006) characterized lean manufacturing as an idea that when executed it cuts the time from customer request by reducing the waste. Lean manufacturing is a strategy related to the manufacturing that planned to accomplish smooth production with minimum level of wastage. Some analysts even called attention to that if an organization ignores the lean manufacturing policy, the organization would not have the capacity to stand against the existing worldwide rivalry for higher quality with lower costs. Shah and Ward (2007) arranged into four elements linked with JIT, quality management and human resource like employee involvement. Figure 3 shows various lean manufacturing activities in automobile industry. However, the current study is limited to JIT, employee involvement and quality management.

![Lean Manufacturing Practices](image)

**Fig. 3.** Lean Manufacturing Practices

JIT viewpoint is coordinated toward the eradication of waste by restructuring production procedures, setup times reduction, monitoring stream of materials, and equipment maintenance and machinery. With the help of these actions, inventory as well as resources could be decreased and utilized effectively (Kannan & Tan, 2005). Power and Sohal (2000) defines JIT is the continual process of upgrading and indirectly to resolve to add up to quality with the interest of all the HR that aims to create just what is required and based on interest to reduce the quantity of manufacturing. The common purposes of JIT are to continuous up-gradation of value, organizational profitability, and adaptability (White & Prybutok, 2001). Therefore, JIT as a lean manufacturing practice have significant role in FP by decreasing the unnecessary practices. García-Alcaraz and Maldonado-Macías (2016) stated that JIT using materials as well as waste administration in a company with lean manufacturing decrease inventory levels in each stage to explore the problems rapidly and find solutions. According to Singh and Garg (2011), to explore the hidden problems is also one of the goal of JIT. Moreover, Yasin, Small, and Wafa (2003) indicated that innovative manufacturing approaches development, such as JIT, various advanced technologies related to Manufacturing, and maintenance of certain quality in reply to demands and to rise their proficiency, efficiency, as well as responsiveness to their customers, companies need to take strategic adjustment. JIT system helps to accomplish competitivenes for companies in the market (Inman et al., 2011). Various studies described that JIT is significant link with FP (Ahmad, Mehra, & Pletcher, 2004; Claycomb, Dröge, & Germain, 1999; Fullerton, McWatters, & Fawson, 2003; Fullerton & Wempe, 2009; Mackelprang & Nair, 2010). Therefore, JIT practices in automobile industry has major contribution in FP. Most of the studies revealed that it has positive contribution in company’s performance. Thus, by examining the prior studies, we concluded that:

**H1:** There is a significant association between JIT and FP.

Employee involvement can be defined as, participation of employees straightforwardly to allow the firm to achieve its mission and to attain objectives by deploying their ideas, expertise, as well as efforts towards critical thinking and decision making. Ideas through the participation of employees enhance the performance by reducing the
problems. According to Hameed et al. (2018), communication between employees generate innovative ideas which increases the innovation performance among organizations. These ideas ultimately increase the FP of automobile companies. Employee involvement increases the human capital which automatically generates good outcomes. Lean manufacturing provides problem solving ability through employee participation in various groups, different formal programs related to training, and independent problem solving increases the operations accuracy (MacDuffie, 1995; Shah & Ward, 2007). Bryson (1999) investigated a significant positive link between FP and employee involvement. As, it is difficult for top management to explore the tinny problems at lower level, it is also crucial for top management to come up with appropriate solutions of these problems. However, in this case, employee participation and problem solving, and identifications are most important. Bottom level employees can identify the problems and can also provide better solution to resolve. Therefore, this participation has the ability to run operations in a smooth way and to enhance the FP. Various prior studies carried out research on employee’s involvement and firm performance and found positive relationship (Addison, 2005; D. Jones & Kato, 2003; D. C. Jones, Kalmi, & Kauhanen, 2010; Sadikoglu & Zehir, 2010). Additionally, various studies also found a significant connection between FP and employee involvement (Addison & Belfield, 2000; Church, Hannan, & Kuang, 2012; McNabb & Whittfield, 2000). Therefore, it is apparent from literature, employee involvement has significant contribution to FP. Therefore, it is proposed that:

**H2:** There is a significant association between employee involvement and FP.

Quality is frequently used to signify the magnificence of services or products. The word quality has diverse meanings under various circumstances. Easiest approach to define quality would be how much an item meets the requirements of a customer or simply the fitness of an item or service for its intended use. In a same direction, according to Rawlins (2008), quality can be accessed the how much a product or service fulfil the requirement of customers. Therefore, consistent with JIT and employee involvement, a certain level of quality is also required to enhance FP. According to literature, numerous methods have been planned to advance operations performance. However, three major approaches are; JIT, supply chain handling, and quality management (Kannan & Tan, 2005). Therefore, quality management has significant association with company’s operational performance. Increase in operational performance automatically increases the FP. Hendricks and Singhal (2001) found that numerous factors that influence quality management and performance. In these factors, JIT and employee involvement are important. Kaynak (2003) demonstrated that quality management is the important pillar of firm’s performance. Various researchers highlighted a significant relation between performance and quality management among various firms (Easton & Jarrell, 1998; Esrig-Tena et al., 2018; Sinclair & Zairi, 1995; Terziovski & Samson, 1999). Therefore, along with JIT and employee involvement, quality management is also important for satisfaction of customer and FP. As numerous studies exposed that quality handling have positive influence on FP (Barnes et al., 2018; Nguyen, Pham, & Pham, 2016; Sila, 2018). Thus, from above debate, it is revealed that:

**H3:** There is a significant association between quality management and FP.

Additionally, from above discussion, following hypotheses are proposed:

**H4:** There is a significant association between JIT and quality management.

**H5:** There is a significant association between employee involvement and quality management.

**H6:** Quality management mediates the relationship between JIT and FP.

**H7:** Quality management mediates the relationship between employee involvement and FP.

3. **METHOD**

A survey was carried out to scrutinize the effect of lean manufacturing on FP. A questionnaire was used in this survey to collect the opinion of Managerial staff. This survey helped to collect the opinion of various managers from Malaysian automobile companies related to the connection between lean manufacturing and FP. Therefore, following the nature of study, this study preferred to use quantitative research method and cross-sectional research design. Sample size was designated by following the Comrey and Lee (1992) inferential statistics. He proposed that, sample below 50 participants will be considered as weaker; 100 will be weak; 200 will be considered as adequate; 300 will considered as good; 500 will be very good and 1000 will be considered as excellent. However, this study selected 500 sample size. This study is grounded on Malaysian automobile companies. The managerial staffs of these companies were selected to collect the data. A 5-point Likert scale was preferred because it is most suitable to decreases the frustration level of respondents and increases the originality of data (Sabir, Mohammad, & Shahar, 2018; Ul-Hameed, Mohammad, & Shahar, 2018). Lists of automobile company employees were obtained, and respondents were selected randomly. Additionally, questionnaires were distributed through email survey. Response rate is given in Table 1.
3.1 Measures

Moreover, measures were adapted from different published studies. Measures of JIT, employee involvement and quality management were adapted from Olsen (2004). Measures for FP were adapted from Yang, Hong, and Modi (2011). Measures from these studies were used to develop the instrument. All the items of concerned variables are given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Response Rate</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Frequency/Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed questionnaires</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaires returned from respondents</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid questionnaires</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete questionnaires</td>
<td>08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of response</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

This study employed a PLS-SEM to evaluate the data. This is one of the latest techniques which is suggested by different studies (Hair Jr et al., 2016; Henseler et al., 2009). PLS-SEM comprises two major parts which are suggested by Henseler et al. (2009) and assembled by Hameed et al. (2018) as shown in Figure 4.

![PLS-SEM steps](source: Hameed et al. (2018))

All the steps of PLS-SEM were performed to achieve the results. Factor loadings confirm the internal item reliability which is shown in Figure 5. Hair et al. (2017) mentioned that factor loadings should be above 0.7 which is achieved by the current study. All the outcomes of the “measurement model” are shown in Table 2. “Composite reliability” (CR) and “average variance extracted” (AVE) is also above 0.7 and 0.5, respectively (Hair et al., 2017; Henseler et al., 2009). Hair Jr et al. (2016) confirmed that, confirmation of convergent validity requires AVE above 0.5 which is achieved by this study. Moreover, discriminant validity is given in Table 3 which is examined by using Heterotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) ratio. All the values are less than 0.9 as recommended by Henseler et al. (2009).

![Confirmatory Factor Analysis](source: Hair et al. (2017))
Table 2. Factor loadings, reliability and convergent validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Loadings</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Just-in-time (JIT) (α = 947)</td>
<td>1. Restructuring in manufacturing procedures and layout to get attention of procedure and streamlining.</td>
<td>.908</td>
<td>.960</td>
<td>.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Manufacturing at stations is &quot;pulled&quot; by the existing demand of the subsequent station.</td>
<td>.903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Manufacturing is &quot;pulled&quot; by the delivery of finished goods</td>
<td>.911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Undertaking actions to implement pull production</td>
<td>.984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. JIT helps to fulfil the customer demand.</td>
<td>.938</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Involvement (EI) (α = 946)</td>
<td>1. Applying activities to improve the level of assignment and knowledge.</td>
<td>.875</td>
<td>.959</td>
<td>.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Implementing the lean manufacturing mode.</td>
<td>.909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Employees of shop-floor are vital in problem-solving among teams.</td>
<td>.897</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Employees of shop-floor derive various suggestion programs.</td>
<td>.940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Employees of shop-floor lead service/procedure improvements</td>
<td>.911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Management (QM) (α = 937)</td>
<td>1. Undertaking programs for quality enhancement as well as control.</td>
<td>.903</td>
<td>.952</td>
<td>.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Undertaking programs for the enhancement of equipment efficiency.</td>
<td>.896</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. The firm has an official quality system and program.</td>
<td>.840</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. The firm has an up-to-date quality manual.</td>
<td>.910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. The firm has a formal quality assurance program.</td>
<td>.920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Performance (α = 913)</td>
<td>1. Return on Sales (ROS)</td>
<td>.759</td>
<td>.935</td>
<td>.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Return on Investment (ROI)</td>
<td>.904</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Return on Sales (ROS)</td>
<td>.868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Return on Investment (ROI)</td>
<td>.893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Profit of my company</td>
<td>.878</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Heterotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EI</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>JIT</th>
<th>QM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIT</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QM</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moreover, the structural model assessed through PLS bootstrapping. JIT and employees involvement found a significant positive influence on FP with t-value 2.455, \( \beta = 0.278 \) and t-value 2.290, \( \beta = 0.031 \), respectively. These results supported \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \). Similarly, JIT and employee involvement also found a significant positive influence on quality management with t-value 4.447, \( \beta = 0.377 \) and t-value 7.067, \( \beta = 0.584 \), respectively. In line with these results, it is found that quality management has a significant positive connection with FP having t-value 4.009 and \( \beta = 0.514 \). These results also supported \( H_3 \), \( H_4 \), and \( H_5 \). Therefore, increase or decrease in JIT, employee involvement and quality management brings significant change in FP with the same direction.
In line with direct effect, an indirect effect also found significant. Outcomes of the study show that the mediation effect of quality management between JIT and FP is significant with t-value 3.487 and β=0.3. Furthermore, the mediation effect between employee involvement and FP also revealed significant having t-value 2.977 and β=0.194. These results supported H6 and H7. Therefore, quality management is a mediating variable which reflects the positive effect of JIT and employee involvement on FP. Additionally, indirect effect histogram is shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8.

Table 4. Direct effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>β</th>
<th>(STDEV)</th>
<th>T Statistics</th>
<th>P Values</th>
<th>$f^2$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EI -&gt; FP</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2.290</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI -&gt; QM</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>7.067</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIT -&gt; FP</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>2.455</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIT -&gt; QM</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>4.447</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QM -&gt; FP</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>4.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. In-Direct effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>β</th>
<th>(STDEV)</th>
<th>T Values</th>
<th>P Values</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EI -&gt; QM -&gt; FP</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>3.487</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Mediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIT -&gt; QM -&gt; FP</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>2.977</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Mediation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Cross Validated Redundancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SSO</th>
<th>SSE</th>
<th>$Q^2$ (=1-SSE/SSO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>522.293</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QM</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>302.31</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This study carried out to explore the connection between lean manufacturing and FP among Malaysian automobile companies. Three lean manufacturing activities, namely; JIT, employee involvement and quality management was used to examine the relationship with FP. Findings of the study revealed that lean manufacturing and FP has a positive relationship with each other. These results are consistent with prior studies (Fullerton, Kennedy, & Widener, 2014; Fullerton & Wempe, 2009; Olsen, 2004; Yang et al., 2011). Lean manufacturing has a major role to boost FP in Malaysian automobile companies. As it is proved by the study, JIT has a positive contribution to FP. Various other studies also show the same results (Ahmad et al., 2004; Egbunike & Imade, 2017; Fullerton et al., 2003).

Moreover, employee involvement also increases FP. Employee participation in various decision making activities and problem-solving increases the accuracy of decision and operations. It increases the operational performance which ultimately increases the FP. These results are in line with other studies (Addison, 2005; Addison & Belfield, 2000; Andries & Czarnitzki, 2014; Bryson, 1999; McNabb & Whitfield, 2000).

Furthermore, the study highlighted that JIT and employee involvement is not sufficient to enhance performance. Quality management is also equally important. The focus of companies on JIT and employee involvement is not much beneficial if they do not maintain a certain level of quality. Therefore, quality management increases FP with the help of JIT and employee involvement. Previous studies also found the same results (Agus, Krishnan, & Kadir, 2000; Akgün et al., 2014; Easton & Jarrell, 1998; Kaynak, 2003). The current study also proved that JIT as well as employee involvement also increases quality management. Improvement in JIT and employee involvement increases the quality management which ultimately increases the FP. These findings are consistent with prior studies (Dreyfus, Ahire, & Ebrahimpour, 2004; Kumar; Lawler, Mohrman, & Ledford, 1992; Wilkinson et al., 1992). Finally, the current study highlighted that lean manufacturing is key to get success in financial performance. Better implementation of lean manufacturing increases the FP among Malaysian automobile companies. Therefore, the study contributed to the literature by highlighting the key lean manufacturing activities to boost FP Additionally, it was revealed that quality management is a mediating variable between lean manufacturing and FP. Therefore, the study is much beneficial for automobile companies while making strategies to enhance FP. Future research is required to analyze the role of customers and external partners participation along with employee involvement.
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